

Nano Scale Disruptive Silicon-Plasmonic Platform for Chipto-Chip Interconnection

Definition of plasmonic devices and properties for chip-tochip interconnection

Milestone no.:	M2
Due date:	04/30/2012
Actual Submission date:	05/31/2012
Authors:	KIT
Work package(s):	WP2
Distribution level:	RE ¹ (NAVOLCHI Consortium)
Nature:	document, available online in the restricted area
	of the NAVOLCHI webpage

List of Partners concerned

Partner	Partner name	Partner	Country	Date	Date
number		short		enter	exit
		name		project	project
1	Karlsruher Institut für Technologie	KIT	Germany	M1	M36
2	INTERUNIVERSITAIR MICRO- ELECTRONICA CENTRUM VZW	IMCV	Belgium	M1	M36
3	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT EINDHOVEN	TU/e	Netherlands	M1	M36
4	RESEARCH AND EDUCATION LABORATORY IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES/ ATHENS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	AIT	Greece	M1	M36
5	UNIVERSITAT DE VALENCIA	UVEG	Spain	M1	M36
6	STMICROELECTRONICS SRL	ST	Italy	M1	M36
7	UNIVERSITEIT GENT	UGent	Belgium	M1	M36

 $\mathbf{PU} = \mathbf{Public}$

1

PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)

RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)

CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

FP7-ICT-2011-7 Project-No. 288869 NAVOLCHI – M2

Milestone Report Last update 05/30/2012 Version 99

Deliverable Responsible

Organization: Athens Information Technology Contact Person: Emmanouil-P. Fitrakis Address: Athens Information Technology 19.5 Km Markopoulo Avenue 19002 Peania, Attiki Greece Phone: +30 210 668 2721 Fax: +30 210 668 2703 E-mail: mfitrakis@ait.gr

Executive Summary

This document presents the main targeted characteristics for the devices that will constitute the interconnect system we aim to develop. Comments on changes of schedule and new implementation methods are included, where applicable. In all cases of change of schedule, the new dates are earlier than the dates in the proposal Description of Work. Benchmarking data conclude the document.

Version	Date	Changes	Author
0.1 (draft)	2012-03-01	Start	Emmanouil-P. Fitrakis (AIT)
		~~~~~	Ioannis Tomkos (AIT)
			Emmanouil-P. Fitrakis (AIT)
			Ioannis Tomkos (AIT)
			Victor Calzadilla (TU/e)
	2012 05 22	Contributions by all	Martin Sommer (KIT)
0.2 (draft)	0.2 (draft) 2012-05-23	device leaders	Argishti Melikyan (KIT)
		Juan Martinez-Pastor (UVEG)	
			Dries Van Thourhout (IMEC)
			Alberto Scandurra (ST)
			Emmanouil-P. Fitrakis (AIT)
			Christoforos Kachris (AIT)
		hanahmarking	Ioannis Tomkos (AIT)
			Alberto Scandurra (ST)
99 (submit) 2012-5-30	2012 5 30		Victor Calzadilla (TU/e)
	Deneminarking	Martin Sommer (KIT)	
			Argishti Melikyan (KIT)
			Juan Martinez-Pastor (UVEG)
			Dries Van Thourhout (IMEC)

Change Records

## *Contents*

Executive Summary	2
Contents	3
. Introduction	4
2. Transmitter	4
a. Plasmonic Nano Laser	4
b. Plasmonic Modulator	5
8. Receiver	5
a. Plasmonic Amplifier	5
b. Plasmonic Photodetector6	5
4. Supporting components	5
a. Waveguide Coupler6	)
b. Beam Steering	7
c. Noise Filter8	}
d. Signal Generation Module (DDCM)8	}
5. Benchmarking & Targets11	

# 1. Introduction

The plasmonic interconnect system will consist of the following devices:

#### Table I:

Туре	Device	Leader
Transmitter	Plasmonic Nano-Laser	TU/e
Trasnmitter	Plasmonic Modulator	KIT
Receiver	Plasmonic Amplifier	UVEG
Receiver	Plasmonic Photodetector	UVEG
Supporting Components	Waveguide Coupler	KIT
Supporting Components	Noise Filter	IMEC
Supporting Components	Beam Steering	IMEC
Supporting Components	Signal Generation Module	ST

The following sections present the targeted specifications for each of the above devices.

# 2. Transmitter

The transmitter will consist of the plasmonic nano-laser and the plasmonic modulator.

### a) Plasmonic Nano-Laser

We aim to design a plasmonic laser operating at a wavelength around  $1.55 \mu m$ ; however, it is highly possible to end up with a laser operating between 1.4 and 1.5 $\mu m$ . This is because of the bandgap widening, which happens due to the high carrier injection needed to produce enough gain to overcome the large modal loss.

The expected specifications, at cryogenic temperatures, are:

Parameter	Expected value
Wavelength	1.4 – 1.55 μm
Driving Voltage	> 1.5 V
Current	> 1 mA (above 3mA at room temperature)

Table II: Parameters	s for plasmonic laser
----------------------	-----------------------

Device Length	> 10 µm
Output Power	100 ± 90 μW

### b) Plasmonic Modulator

Based on the initial experiments, the plasmonic phase modulator is expected to have an internal impedance >> 50 W.

Target specifications can be found below:

### Table III: Parameters for plasmonic modulator

Parameter	Targeted Value
Overall loss	< 30 dB
Length	< 50 μm
Latency	> 10 µm
Driving Voltage	$\leq 4.5 V_{pp}$

For more information on the plasmonic modulator, see Milestone 9.

## 3. Receiver

The receiver will consist of the plasmonic pre-amplifier and the plasmonic photodetector.

### a) Plasmonic Amplifier

The amplifier will be based on quantum dot gain for surface plasmon-polariton (SPP) propagation on quasi-1D or 2D metal waveguides.

**Table IV:** Parameters for plasmonic amplifier.

Parameter	Targeted Value

On-chip gain	10 dB
Gain with electrical injection	10 dB/cm

### b) Plasmonic Photodetector

The goal here is a small footprint and highly sensitive solution for small light signal or direct SPP detection, depending on chip configurations.

### **Table V:** Parameters for plasmonic photodetector.

Parameter	Targeted Value
Quantum Efficiencies	> 80%
Responsivities	> 0.1 A/W

## 4. Supporting components

The supporting components include the waveguide couplers, beam steering, the noise filters and the signal generation module.

### a) Waveguide Couplers

An example of the geometrical parameters of the 50 nm slot width plasmonic coupler can be found in the following table.

Parameter	Value
SOI device layer thickness	220 nm
Silicon waveguide width	500 nm
Plasmonic slot width	50 nm
Distance d	75 nm

Table VI: Parameters for waveguide coupler.

Angle $\theta$	36°
Efficiency of a single coupler	87%

For more information on the waveguide coupler, see Milestone 25.

### b) Beam Steering

#### Table VII: Parameters for beam steering.

Parameter	Targeted Value
Distance	1 mm
Bandwidth	> 10 nm
Efficiency	> - 3 dB

It is not yet clear if these specs are actually achievable. This will require further design effort. We also have to define if these are really useful specs. As an alternative approach we are currently investigating MEMS-like steerable gratings.

Implementation:

- classical gratings with shallow etch grooves in silicon,
- metal gratings,
- MEMS-movable grating.

Planning (proposal):

- M12 Design ready
- M18 Fabrication ready
- M21 Fully characterized devices
- M24 Design second generation
- M30 Fabrication second generation
- M33 Second generation fabricated

#### Planning (actual):

- Design (gen I) implemented on epixfab run August (M10)
- Fabrication completed December (M14)

### c) Noise Filter

#### Table VIII: Parameters for noise filter.

Parameter	Targeted Value
Bandwidth	3 nm
Suppression	10 dB
Free Spectral Range	30 nm

Proposed implementation: AWG with flat-top passband (MMI-input based).

Planning (proposal):

- M12 Design ready
- M18 Fabrication ready
- M21 Fully characterized devices

Planning (actual):

- Design implemented on epixfab run August (M10)
- Fabrication completed December (M14)

### d) Signal Generating Module (DDCM)

The Dual Die Communication Module (abbreviated DDCM) is the building-block responsible for the interconnection of different dice within a so called Network in Package (NiP), the communication system enabling inter dice data transmission in the context of Systems in Package (SiP) technology.

According to a widely used approach, the DDCM is considered composed of two main building blocks:

- the DDCM controller, responsible for managing incoming/outgoing STNoC traffic and IDN segments, generating them through STNoC flits encapsulation and preparing them to be sent to the PHY transmitter, as well as collecting them from the PHY receiver;
- the DDCM PHY, responsible for transmitting output phyts across the physical link and collecting inputs phyts from the physical link.

The DDCM is a parametric design, configurable in terms of hardware structure specifying the proper set of parameters depending on the context where it has to be used. Moreover the DDCM is programmable via a set of software-programmable registers, allowing to modify its behaviour in real-time, so as to react to changing boundary conditions.

The next figure shows the DDCM structure in terms of top level building-blocks.





Figure 2 shows the architecture of the DDCM highlighting the connections with initiators and targets across an STNoC interconnect.

Figure 3 shows instead the connection and the traffic streams flows between two dice, highlighting the two DDCMs architectures and their crossing. Specifically, the orange line represents the request traffic stream flowing from initiator 1 in die #1 towards target

2 in die #2, while the yellow line represents the response traffic stream flowing from target 2 in die #2 towards initiator 1 in die #1.



Figure 2: DDCM architecture



Figure 3 : Traffic streams flows between two dice

For any details about DDCM architecture and microarchitecture please refer to D5.1.

The DDCM will be designed exploiting the CMOS technology available at the time of the implementation phase. Current prototypes are being designed exploiting 40nm, 32nm and 28nm CMOS technologies.

Final DDCM implementation will be likely based on 22nm CMOS technology.

# 5. Benchmarking & Targets

The desired **data rate** capability for all devices is **7.2 Gb/s**. The desired net **latency** for the plasmonic system is **< 8.88 ns** at 450 MHz clock frequency. In case of faster electronics, latency will have to be lower in order to be competitive.

In 2010, the state-of-the-art optical transceivers consumed tens of pJ/bit [1].

Benchmarking data follows:

Link	Туре	Throughput	Latency	Power	Pins
Intel 50G Link [2]	Opt.	50 Gb/s (12.5x4)			
UNIC [1]	Opt.	5 Gb/s*		5 mW*	
TI C2C [3]	Electr.	6.4 Gb/s	50 ns		16
MIPI LLI [4]	Electr.	5.8 Gb/s	80 ns	15pJ/bit	4
MIPI UniPort [4]	Electr.	3.2 Gb/s (0.8x4)	high	15pJ/bit	4

#### Table IX: Benchmarking

*first-year results of research project.

Intel's 50G Silicon Photonics Optical Link uses lasers to transmit data between two silicon chips, a transmitter and a receiver. It is composed of four optical channels, each running at 12.5 Gb/s, which are combined onto a single fiber to transmit data up to 50 Gb/s.

The Ultra-performance Nanophotonic Intrachip Communication (UNIC) is a DARPAfunded project that involves Sun/Oracle, Kotura and Luxtera. It started in 2008 and finishes in 2013. UNIC aims to achieve "unprecedented high-density, low-power, largebandwidth, and low-latency optical interconnect for highly compact supercomputer systems". UNIC first-year achievements included a 320 fJ/bit hybrid-bonded optical transmitter and a 690 fJ/bit hybrid-bonded optical receiver. The project utilizes silicon photonics and assumes an external laser. In 2010, the power consumption target for 2012 was 300 fJ/bit, two orders of magnitude lower than state-of-the-art optical transceivers.

TI C2C (available since 2010) contains technology from Texas Instruments and Arteris. It was created to allow DRAM memory sharing for reduced eBoM cost through a very low latency interface. C2C does not require a PHY. C2C requires about 30 pins total in a mobile phone use model (16 transmit pins, 8 receive pins, plus clock and power pins). Round trip latency is 100 ns. It requires 1.2 or 1.8 volts and has throughput of 6.4 Gb/sec at 200 MHz DDR speeds and using 16 pins.

The UniPro specification was first released in 2007. UniPort is UniPro combined with a MIPI D-PHY or M-PHY. It supports a maximum data rate of 800 Mbit/s per lane, for 1 to 4 lanes. UniPro is not low latency enough for RAM sharing.

The MIPI LLI specification was released in 2011, but we only have targeted specs. Its primary purpose was to allow sufficient performance to enable sharing a DRAM memory. The main motivation was electronic bill of materials (eBoM) cost reduction. Round trip latency was targeted to be 80 ns using 8 pins in Gear 3. Unidirectional throughput is 2.9 Gb/s per lane using Gear 2.

[1] G. L. Li, X. Z. Zheng, J. Lexau, Y. Luo, H. Thacker, T. Pinguet, P. Dong, D. Z. Feng, S. R. Liao, R. Shafiiha, M. Asghari, J. Yao, J. Shi, I. N. Shubin, D. Patil, F. Liu, K. Raj, R. Ho, J. E. Cunningham, and A. V. Krishnamoorthy, "Ultralow-power silicon photonic interconnect for high-performance computing systems," Optoelectronic Interconnects and Component Integration IX7607, 760703 (2010).

[2] The 50G Silicon Photonics Link, Intel White paper, July 2010

[3] Texas Instruments Chip-to-Chip Link (C2C),

http://www.arteris.com/c2c chip-to-chip for DRAM memory sharing

[4] MIPI Alliance, http://www.mipi.org/

[5] http://synopsys.mediaroom.com/index.php?s=43&item=836